The future of multilateralism

Toward a responsible globalization that empowers citizens and leaves no one behind

The authors



Homi Kharas

Interim Vice President and Director, Global Economy and Development Program, The Brookings Institution



Dennis J. Snower

President, Global Solutions Initiative

The co-author:
Sebastian Strauss, Senior Research
Analyst & Coordinator for Strategic
Engagements, The Brookings Institution

The institutions:

BROOKINGS

The Brookings Institution is a nonprofit public policy organization based in Washington, DC. Our mission is to conduct in-depth research that leads to new ideas for solving problems facing society at the local, national and global level. Brookings brings together more than 300 leading experts in government and academia from all over the world who provide the highest quality research, policy recommendations, and analysis on a full range of public policy issues.



The Global Solutions Initiative is a global collaborative enterprise that proposes policy responses to major global problems, addressed by the G20, the G7 and other global governance fora. The policy recommendations and strategic visions are generated through a disciplined research program by leading research organizations, elaborated in policy dialogues between researchers, policymakers, business leaders and civil society representatives.

Today's globalized world has generated a variety of globalized problems – from climate change to financial crises to cybersecurity – that can be effectively addressed only through multilateral agreements. Multilateralism is fundamental to the liberal world order created at the end of World War II. It has been crucial in maintaining peace and prosperity. It has also been central to the past successes of the G20 in addressing the global financial crisis and promoting international financial stability.

Nevertheless, this system is now under threat, with its core goals and values challenged from a variety of quarters. The political dissatisfaction with multilateralism in major advanced industrialized countries such as the United States is associated with the failure of global governance in the post-Bretton Woods system to stem the tide of slow growth, rising inequality, falling labor force participation, rising migration, social fragmentation and job insecurity associated with globalization and automation.

multilateralism has led to the considerawith overseeing economic globalization and emerging economies. Political rigidi ographically proximate countries. None of eration that is limited to likeminded or geparallel pursuit of bilateral deals or coopsuch as the IMF, World Bank, UN, WTO and ties in multilateral organizations charged mographic and political weight of advanced due to rapid changes in the economic, demultilateral system needs to be reformed these alternatives has plausible chances The resulting disillusionment with forma others – have prevented adequate reform tion of various alternatives, such as the been widely recognized that the curren For the past two to three decades, it has

of completely replacing multilateralism, however, since a globalized world facing globalized challenges requires an open, rules-based international order to ensure that the system works in the service of all nations and people. What is needed is to find the right balance between true multilateralism, defined as universal rules of the game, and the large number of plurilateral agreements that permit greater flexibility to move an agenda forward when universal consensus cannot, or need not, be achieved.

rigidities in multilateral organizations charged with overseeing economic globalization have prevented adequate reform.«

THE CHALLENGE: FINDING GENERAL PRINCIPLES TO MAKE MULTILATERALISM SUSTAINABLE

The challenge is to design a set of legitimate, widely agreed-on general rules,

administered impartially by representative and accountable arbiter institutions, such that all nations: (1) refrain from doing harm to others by instituting beggarithy-neighbor policies, (2) internalize their cross-border spillovers, (3) cooperate on managing the global commons, (4) cooperate in the provision of global public goods, (5) promote global economic growth and development, and (6) tackle inherently global problems – all the while retaining enough flexibility to accommodate a wide range of possibly divergent domestic policies, economic models, and paths of development.

The new multilateralism must recognize that there is no one way to satisfy human needs and aspirations.«

PROPOSAL

To lay the groundwork for an inclusive dialogue in the G20, we recommend the establishment of a Working Group on the Future of Multilateralism to develop a set of principles that can help lay the foundations of a new pact on multilateralism with an eye toward accepting institutional

diversity, while ensuring the provision of global public goods and managing the global commons.

Multilateralism needs to address its discontents and evolve to be fit for purpose in an era of renewed great power competition, political economy tensions, issue politicization, and a decoupling of economic prosperity from social prosperity.

We must recognize that globalization and multilateralism are means to an end (i.e., social and economic prosperity) rather than ends in themselves. To that end, multilateralism can be, and ought to be, used as an instrument to promote strong, sustainable, balanced, and inclusive growth within all nation-states and thereby strengthen the world economy.

which all nations have subscribed. sal values, such as those contained in the challenges. There are, however, univerare desirable to address diverse cultural way to satisfy human needs and aspirais universally valid. The new multilateralone "correct" approach to governance that multilateral institutions largely champion sustainable development. Yet existing able institutional frameworks to promote ences in views across the world on desircentury, there remain substantial differthe convergence achieved in the last half Universal Declaration of Human Rights, to tions, and that diverse policy approaches ism must recognize that there is no one We also must recognize that despite all

The new realities of the digital economy and rapid technological development necessitate resolute and concerted action to address crucial challenges. Coordinated efforts to address Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) to improve the fairness of corporate taxation, especial-

ly of digital companies, and to shore up the privacy treatment of consumer data across borders, are pointed examples of areas in which supranational coordination is required. The new multilateralism must be conceived as a vehicle for enhancing citizen and national empowerment and leadership.

values and interests diverge and disagreecan acknowledge as legitimate, even wher a process of transnational deliberation given freedom to advance these goals in accomplished while national agencies are tional institutions decide on the goals to be governance" in the EU, whereby supranasimilar model is that of "experimentalis comparable choices made by others." A publicly and place them in the context of solutions. They must justify their choices countries – why they have arrived at those their peers – policymakers in the other solutions as long as they can explain to to experiment and implement different ment prevails. Countries should be "free tions taken (or not taken), which others that can generate explanations for ac-Sabel, legitimation and accountability in networked agencies. port their actions and results in forums, the ways they see fit, as long as they rethe context of global governance require Following Joshua Cohen and Charles

The role of subnational and non-state actors in this renewed multilateralism is key. Global governance must transcend exclusive clubs of regulators and technocrats by moving beyond state-centered multilateralism toward a bottom-up, multi-channel multilateralism "that actively embraces the potential contributions to global social organization by civil society and corporate actors." (Ruggie) Often

these actors are able to sidestep political contestation and advance new global norms via market and social mechanisms more effectively than any national government. The climate change agenda is an example of this kind of multilateralism; formal intergovernmental agreements supplemented by a range of actions and advocacy by subnational government officials, corporations, financial institutions, and individual campaigners.

STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES

The aim of the Working Group on the Future of Multilateralism is to define a set of G20 Principles for Sustainable Multilateralism that account for some or all of the above-mentioned realities, challenges and opportunities: a set of general "traffic rules that help vehicles of different size and shape and traveling at varying speeds navigate around each other, rather than impose an identical car or a uniform speed limit on all" (Rodrik).

The following are suggested areas that a set of principles should inform. The guidelines and principles, when formulated, must ensure that the system as a whole is to everyone's net benefit, as this gives incentives to all countries to participate, a property of the system that is essential to its enforcement.

Focus on public well-being

The goals of multilateral agreements must be formulated clearly in terms of the public interest. The agreements must enhance the well-being of people living under diverse national circumstances and must recognize states' demands for policy autonomy. The objective must not be harmonization for its own sake, but rather the promotion

of empowered citizens, living meaningful and prosperous lives in sustainable, inclusive and thriving communities.

Complementarity between the national and the multilateral

The underlying aim is to make multilateralism complementary to the capacities of nation-states, not a substitute for it. Just as national politics must induce citizens of nations to constrain their pursuit of self-interest in order to achieve common national goals, so multilateral politics must induce nations to constrain their pursuit of national interest in order to achieve common transnational goals.

Accompanying the integration of the global economy, we have witnessed a proliferation of global challenges, including financial crises, cyber threats, climate change, and much more. These challenges affect all nations of the world and it is in the interests of each nation that they be addressed successfully. Multilateral coordination generates win-win opportunities for all nations. In order to exploit these opportunities, the gains from multilateral coordination must be spread appropriately, enabling all participating nations to benefit.

Subsidiarity

The principle of subsidiarity can help bolster the legitimacy of multilateralism. Thus full-fledged multilateralism should serve a subsidiary function, dealing only with policies, such as child labor or currency and tariff wars, that must be implemented universally, without national or more local, divergences. Other types of plurilateral agreements might achieve limited advantages for a smaller group of

countries without adversely affecting the rest of the world.

Systemic coherence

mulated accordingly. seen as delivering on national goals, the cases, where multilateral policies are not system for their own benefit. But in other spill-overs are proscribed, multilateralism challenges. When multilateral policies agreements aimed at addressing global diversity of approaches must be brought diverse cultures, conditions, capabilities, with a view to ensuring the systemic coments and national policies need to be for for the whole system. Multilateral agreethrough which one country can game the can avoid beggar-thy-neighbor "cheating the result is ambiguous. Where negative come into conflict with national policies, proaches is desirable. Nevertheless, this munity of nations, diversity of policy apnorms and values represented in the comherence of the world order. In view of the "sovereignty cost" may undercut support into consistency with the multilateral The new multilateralism must be designed

In order to ensure the continuity of policymaking and the resilience of the world order, the new multilateralism should build on the existing patchwork of plurilateral, multi-level, multi-channel coalitions and alliances designed to address specific overlapping interests, governed by general principles, and guided by multilateral consensus. This system could provide room for variation in institutional practices across nation-states within a framework of global cooperation and coordination.

Achieving systemic coherence policymaking requires agreement on a

ent system of policymaking. addressing global problems to national an evolving dialogue among nations at approach needs to be achieved through in which diverse national policies and mulsponsibility for addressing global prob-(7) agree on accessible, low-cost dispute on graduated sanctions for rule violators, for monitoring states' behaviors; (6) agree system, carried out by the member states ed at the multilateral level; (5) develop a rule-making rights of states are respectin changing the rules; (4) ensure that the states affected by the rules can be involved needs and conditions; (3) ensure that al age national solidarity; (2) match rules relationships among nations: (1) encour-Core Design Principles, as applied to the may provide a useful point of departure for An illustration of such an approach, which tics, business, academia and civil society stakeholders from the domains of polimultiple levels, involving a wide range of overall approach to policymaking. This tilateral agreements constitute a consist lems through nested tiers of governance resolution mechanisms; and (8) build rethe evolving dialogue, is Elinor Ostrom's

These ideas are not new. In his 1933 article on "National self-sufficiency," John Maynard Keynes recognized that "there is no prospect for the next generation of a uniformity of economic system throughout the world, such as existed, broadly speaking, during the nineteenth century; that we all need to be as free as possible of interference from economic changes elsewhere, in order to make our own favorite experiments towards the ideal social republic of the future; and that a deliberate movement towards greater national self-sufficiency and economic isolation will

make our task easier, in so far as it can be accomplished without excessive economic cost." His key point was to encourage experimentation, even at the cost of a certain degree of global economic inefficiency.

>>Multilateral coordination generates win-win opportunities for all nations.«

sive and, therefore, sustainable one a set of principles of multilateral engagea collective institutional memory and coneffective institution but also a more inclusmall secretariat to enhance systemic coand follow-through, and (3) establishing a organizations for policy implementation cent follow-on report to the 2015 Albrightment, would make the G20 not only a more sensus. Reforms of this kind, supported by ordination and enable the accumulation of sembly every two years; (2) establish-Headquarters during the UN General As-Gambari Commission Report recommends an example of a concrete proposal, a re-G20 must itself seek greater coordinaing formal links with intergovernmental institutions, and related bodies. To give tion with the UN system, Bretton Woods (1) assembling G20 heads of state at UN that the G20 be upgraded to a "G20+" by: In service of systemic coherence, the

82